

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Donnelly v. Eastes, 94 Wis. 390, 69 N. W. 157; Birmingham v. Lesan, 77 Me. 494, 1 Atl. 151.

Franchises — Right to Enjoin Competitor Illegally doing Business without a License. — Bill to enjoin defendant from operating a jitney-bus line in competition with complainant on ground that defendant's franchise was invalid because not signed by the mayor. *Held*, that the complainant is entitled to a perpetual injunction. *Memphis Street Ry. Co. v. Transit Co. et al.*, 198 S. W. 890 (Tenn.).

A franchise confers privileges which are exclusive in their nature against all persons upon whom similar rights have not been conferred. Elizabethtown Gas Light Co. v. Green, 46 N. J. Eq. 118, 18 Atl. 844, 846. The rights are essentially in all respects property. City of Louisville v. Cumberland Telephone & Telegraph Co., 224 U. S. 649; City of Morristown v. East Tenn. Telephone Co., 15 Fed. 304. Any person attempting to exercise such rights without legislative sanction invades the private property rights of one holding a valid franchise and may be restrained at the instance of the owner. Bartlesville Electric Light & Power Co. v. Bartlesville Interurban Ry. Co., 26 Okla. 453, 109 Pac. 228; Millville Gas Light Co. v. Vineland Light & Power Co., 72 N. J. Eq. 305, 65 Atl. 504. In a few jurisdictions the courts have proceeded on the ground that the complainant seeks to prevent competition with it, and have refused to grant an injunction. Coffeyville Gas Co. v. Citizens Natural Gas Co., 55 Kan. 173, 40 Pac. 326; Market R. Co. v. Central R. Co., 51 Cal. 583. Whether competition is so desirable as to justify this refusal of protection to a property right is questionable. Text-writers do not favor the doctrine. See DILLON, MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS, § 1244.

Insane Persons — Guardianship and Protection — Right of Guardian of Insane Widow to Dissent from Will. — By statute a widow may elect whether to take under her husband's will or by descent (1903, Me. Rev. Stat. c. 77, § 13). The guardian of an insane widow petitioned the probate court to be allowed to elect against the will. Held, that neither the guardian nor the court could exercise the widow's right for her. Clark v. Boston Safe Deposit & Trust Co., 102 Atl. 280 (Me.).

A widow's right of election, being personal, does not survive to her heirs or personal representatives. Donald v. Portis, 42 Ala. 29; Welch v. Anderson, 28 Mo. 203. A few jurisdictions hold in accord with the principal case that if the widow is incompetent the right is defeated. Crenshaw v. Carpenter, 60 Ala. 572; Lewis v. Lewis, 29 N. C. 72. But the great weight of authority is that if the widow is incompetent the right may be exercised by a court of equity or by the guardian under the supervision of some court. Penhallow v. Kimball, 61 N. H. 596; Kennedy v. Johnston, 65 Pa. 451; In re Andrews' Estate, 92 Mich. 449, 52 N. W. 743; Trower v. Spady, 117 Va. 173, 83 S. E. 1049. See PAGE, WILLS, 719. This result has been generally reached by judicial decision, but in a few states it has been enacted by statute. See 1010, OHIO GEN. CODE. § 19574; 1908, N. C. REV. CODE, § 3080; Mo. REV. STAT. § 355. The court in making its election leans toward the will. In re Bringhurst, 250 Pa. 9, 95 Atl. 320. But it considers the interests of the incompetent party and no one else. Spruance v. Darlington, 7 Del. Eq. 111, 30 Atl. 663. The decision of the principal case seems to be an example of the hostility of many courts toward statute law.

International Law — Legations and Diplomatic Agents — Immunity of Diplomatic Agents from Suits: Extent of Waiver. — The defendant, an accredited minister of a foreign sovereign, having waived his diplomatic immunity, was surcharged with a sum of money upon his accounts in ad-